



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/757,849	01/09/2001	James E. Wright	2003260-0001	8156
7.	590 09/23/2003			
Elizabeth E. Nugent Choate, Hall & Stewart, Exchange Place 53 State Street			EXAMINER	
			ALAM, SHAHID AL	
Boston, MA 02109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2172	
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>	lacksquare
	Application No.	plicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/757,849	WRIGHT, JAMES E.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Shahid Al Alam	2172
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondenc address
THE REPLY FILED 05 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application and the same application and the same application are same applications.	ation. A proper reply to a high places the application in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
NOTE:		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Set		idered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17, 19 and 20</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		

Shahid Al Alam Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2172

10. Other: ____

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Shah's teachings of activating links and using HTML forms, the user can navigate, search, and access the information maintained by the server and in response to the user requests, the server can invoke multiple associated methods for each IHO. For any document type in which users can define type specific methods for displaying the artifact with an IHO and teachings of attribute based queries as taught in pages 22 and 23, clearly teaches Applicant's claimed invention.